Action against the land encroachers in Delhi

2587. SHRl SAMAR MUKHERJEE: Will the Minister of URBAN, DEVELOPMENT be pleased to refer to the answer to Unstarred Question 2610 given in the Rajya Sabha on the 2nd December, 1988 and state the latest position relating to action taken by DDA against the encroachers in Basant Village?

THE MINISTER OF STATE IN THE MINISTRY OF URBAN DEVELOPMENT (SHRI DALBlR SINGH): No further development has taken place since December, 1988,

Acquirement of land of village Kakoii (East Delhi)

2588. SHRI SAMAR MUKHERJEE: Will the Minister of URBAN DEVELOPMENT be pleased to state:

(a) the total land of village Bakoli (East Delhi) acquired for widening of G. T. Road (By pass) together with date of taking over the possession of the land;

(b) the number of land owners inoived n the above acquirement;

(c) the rate of compensation together with interest etc. awarded by the Land Acquisition Collector along with the date of payment to the farmers;

(d) under which act the said acquire. ment have been made;

(e) the number of land holders went in appeal against the award of LAC:

(f) the number of appeals disposed off by the competent court alongwith the years of disposal and the rate of enhancement of award;

(g) the number of farmers paid at the enhanced rate and also the remaining still awaiting for their turn of payment; and

(h) the reasons for hindrances in the payment even after the decision of the court?

THE MINISTER OF STATE IN THE MINISTRY OF URBAN DEVELOPMENT (SHRl DALBIR SINGH): (a) Land measuring 119 bighas 03 biswas was acquired and the possession of the land was taken on 4-3-1980.

(b) 111 (one hundred and elve$_n$ only).

(c) Compensation was awarded at the rate of Rs. 4063.48 per bigha (Rs. 4.06 per sq. yd.) which also includes the amount of interest etc. The payment was made during September to December, 1980 in cases where there was no dispute about eligibility title.

(d) Land Acquisition Act, 1894.

(e) 14 (Fourteen only).

(f) 11 cases were decided by the Court of Additional District Judge in the year 1987 and the compensation was enhanced to Rs. 10000 per bighas (without interest). In the remaining three cases court judge, ment is still awaited.

(g) and (h) Enhanced compensation alongwith interest was received in ten cases from the Department for whom the land was acquired and the said amount has been remitted to the Court of Additional District Judge which has to make the payment of the enhanced compensation to the land owners. In the remaining one case the amount of compensation is still awaited from the Department fcr whom the land was acquired.

Irregularities in the Hindustan Prefab Limited, New Delhi

2589. SHRI SAMAR MUKHERJEE: Will the Minister of URBAN DEVELOPMENT be pleased to state:

(a) whether complaints have been receiv ed in the Ministry and also by the Prime Minister from the Hindustan Prefab Limi ted, Jangpura. Staff Association, New Delhi about corruption, communal discri mination and other irregularities in the Hindustan Prefab Limited, Jangpura, New Delhi;

(b) whether any vigilance enquiry has been conducted; if so, the details thereof;

(c) whether it is also a fact that the facts of the case are available ia the Ministry's vigilance department file No. C-13015|60!83.AVII dated the 28th September, 1983, 1505|VIP187 MS (UD) of llth August, 1987; and

(d) if so, what steps are being taken! against the culprits?

THE MINISTER OF STATE IN THE MINISTRY OF URBAN DEVELOP. MENT (SHRI DALBIR SINGH): (a) to (d) Complaints addressed to the Ministry of Urban Development as also those addressed to the Prime Minister were received from the Hindustan Prefab Limited Staff Association . It is not a recognised Association. Allegations of corruption, communal discrimination and other irregularities in the Company have been made in the complaint.

Departmental investigation, as well as investigation through the Central Bureau of Investigation, had been conducted regarding the matter. After ivestigation, almost all the allegations have been found to be baseless excepting one. Suitable action is being taken.

Disputes of ALIMCO Workers

2590. SHRI SAMAR MUKHERJEE; Will the Minister of LABOUR be pleased to state:

(a) whether there is a dispute of ALIMCO Workers' Union (INTUC), Kanpur in Artificial Limbs Manufacturing Corporation, Kanpur;

(b) if so, the details thereof;

(c) whether the Registrar of trade unions, Kanpur has investigated the dispute;

(d) if so, whether Registrar has clearly declared a set of office bearers of ALIMCO Workers a_s duly elected;

(e) if so, which set of Office-bearers have been recognised; and

(f) if the Registrar of trade unions have not made clear judgement about the officebearers then what are the reasons for re. ported recognition to a particular set of office bearers by the management?

THE DEPUTY MINISTER IN THE MINISTRY OF LABOUR AND THE DE-PUTY MINISTER IN THE MINISTRY OF PARLIAMENTARY AFFAIRS (SHRI RADHAKISHAN MALAVIYA): (a) and (b) Yes, Sir. According to information received frcm the Government of Uttar Pradesh, there was a dispute over the election of executives of the ALIMCO Workers, Union (INTUC) held on 21.5.1988 for the year 1988.

(c) Yes, Sir.

(d) to (f) In the order dated 8-9-1988, the Registrar of Trade Unions Uttar Pradesh has ruled that the election of the office bearers, not having been held through secret ballot as provided in the Constitution of the Union, was not valid. Accordingly, no set of office bearers could be regi-tered for 1988.

Aluminium plant set up at Dainanjodi iu Orissa

2591. SHRI BAIKUNTHA NATH SAHU; Will the Minister of STEEL AND MINES be pleased to state:

(a) whether it is a fact that the Aluminium plant set up by National Aluminium Company at Damanjodi in Orissa is posing a serious health hazard to thousands of people in the country;

(b) if so, the reasons therefor;

(c) whether Government have issued any direction to NALCO in this regard; and

(d) if so, the details theroef?

THE MINISTER OF STEEL AND MINES (SHRI MAKHAN LAL FOTEDAR): (a) No, Sir.

(b) to (d) Do not arise.

Implementation of projects under RLEGP in Orissa

2592. SHRl BAIKUNTHA NATH SAHU: Will the Minister of AGRICUL. TURE be pleased to state;

(a) whether Government of Orissa had sent a proposal in 1988-89 for their im-plementation under RLEGP-